

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

EDWARD BISSAU MENDY,)

Plaintiff,)

vs.)

LUIS A. TAPIA, *et al.*,)

Defendants.)

Case No.: 2:22-cv-00937-GMN-DJA

ORDER ADOPTING R&R

Pending before the Court is the Report and Recommendation (R&R), (ECF No. 14), of United States Magistrate Judge Daniel J. Albregts, which recommends the Court dismiss this case without prejudice.

A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a United States Magistrate Judge made pursuant to Local Rule IB 1-4. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B); D. Nev. R. IB 3-2. Upon the filing of such objections, the Court must make a *de novo* determination of those portions to which objections are made. *Id.* The Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the Magistrate Judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); D. Nev. R. IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, the Court is not required to conduct “any review at all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an objection.” *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985) (citing 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)). Indeed, the Ninth Circuit has recognized that a district court is not required to review a magistrate judge’s report and recommendation where no objections have been filed. *See, e.g., United States v. Reyna–Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1122 (9th Cir. 2003).

Here, no objections to the R&R were filed, and the deadline to do so has passed. (*See* Min. Order, ECF No. 14) (setting March 20, 2024, deadline for objections). The Court therefore ADOPTS the R&R in full.

1 Accordingly,

2 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that the Report and Recommendation, (ECF No. 14), is
3 **ADOPTED in full.**

4 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Plaintiff's Complaint, (ECF No. 8), is **DISMISSED**
5 **without prejudice.**

6 **The Court kindly instructs the Clerk of Court to close this case.**

7 Dated this 2 day of April, 2024.

8 

9
10 Gloria M. Navarro, District Judge
United States District Court